

# tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA18

## Using the MSA Index to optimise beef eating quality

### What is the MSA Index?

The MSA Index is a single number and standard national measure of the predicted eating quality and potential merit of a carcasse.

The MSA Index is a number between 30 to 80, expressed to 2 decimal places (ie 54.62), to represent the eating quality potential of a whole carcasse. The MSA Index is independent of any processing inputs and is calculated using only attributes influenced by pre-slaughter production. It is a consistent benchmark which can be used across all processors, geographic regions and over time. It reflects the impact on eating quality of management, environmental and genetic differences between cattle at the point of slaughter.

### How is the MSA Index calculated?

The MSA Model predicts the eating quality of 39 cuts in a carcasse using the measurements collected by accredited MSA graders.

MSA eating quality scores are the combination of tenderness, juiciness, flavour and overall liking of beef. The MSA Index is a weighted average of these scores for the 39 MSA cuts for the most common corresponding cooking method. It is not a yield measurement.

The MSA Index is a tool to be used by producers and lot feeders. Inputs in the MSA model controlled by the processor, for example hang method, days aged, ultimate pH (within the acceptable range), and loin temperature are set as default values. The MSA Index is calculated for Achilles hung carcasses with 5 days ageing.

A carcasse with a higher MSA Index will have higher beef eating quality scores for many cuts compared to a lower MSA Index carcasse. The changes in eating quality of individual muscles will depend upon the different combinations of carcasse inputs affecting cuts in different ways. This is why the MSA Index is a measure of the average eating quality of the whole carcasse.

### Key points

- The MSA Index is a weighted average of the predicted MSA eating quality scores (MQ4) of 39 MSA cuts in a carcasse
- The MSA Index is a number between 30 to 80, expressed to 2 decimal places
- It is a tool that producers and lot feeders can use to benchmark the impact of genetic and management interventions on eating quality, across time periods
- Producers can monitor changes in eating quality between slaughter groups, seasons and years
- It also provides a useful national and regional benchmark for beef eating quality, across time and seasons so changes in beef eating quality can be monitored

### Why is the MSA Index useful?

Producers are able to access MSA feedback for individual carcasse traits including carcasse weight, rib fat, MSA marble score, ossification score, HGP status, hump height and sex. However it is difficult to assess the importance of these individual traits on eating quality and how changes in breeding and genetics or management decisions impact on the eating quality of the carcasse. The MSA Index combines the impact of all these inputs and allows producers to evaluate changes in their business, to drive a faster rate of gain in eating quality.

With the goal to improve eating quality for the consumer, the producer and lot feeder are faced with how to economically improve eating quality and the MSA Index through genetics and management interventions.



## Do I have to do anything different on-farm?

Producers are not required to do anything different on-farm to prepare cattle and consign them for MSA. The MSA Index forms a feedback tool to monitor the changes that have occurred in the past as well as make predictions about future changes and how this will impact on the eating quality of your cattle.

## What impacts on the MSA Index?

The key factors impacting on eating quality influenced by the producer are:

- Tropical breed content (TBC), verified or determined by hump height measurement
- MSA marbling score
- Ossification score
- Hormonal Growth Promotant (HGP) status
- Milk-fed vealer category
- Saleyard status

These inputs have a very high or high impact on the MSA Index of a carcass (Table 1). The magnitude of effects shown in Table 1 are an indication only, as the relative importance of the different traits in changing the MSA Index will vary slightly for each producer.

Table 1: The effect of carcass attributes on the MSA Index

Carcass input	Size of effect on the MSA Index (units)	Clarification of effect	Relative importance of these traits in changing the MSA Index*
HGP status	5	The MSA Index of carcasses with <b>no</b> HGP implant is around 5 Index units higher	<b>Very High</b>
Milk-fed vealer	4	The MSA Index of milk fed vealer carcasses is around 4 index units higher	<b>Very High</b>
Saleyard	5	Carcasses which were consigned directly to slaughter and NOT processed through a saleyard have an MSA Index around 5 index units higher	<b>Very High</b>
MSA marbling	0.15	As MSA marbling score increases by 10, the MSA Index increases by around 0.15 index units	<b>High</b>
Hump height (for cattle greater than 0% TBC)**	-0.7	As hump height increases by 10mm, the MSA Index decreases by around 0.7 units In carcasses which have no TBC, hump height has no impact on MSA Index	<b>High</b>
Tropical Breed Content (TBC)**	0% = 0 12% = -1.6 18% = -3.2 25% = -3.9 38% = -4.7 50% = -5.2 75% = -5.5 100% = -6.3	As declared TBC content increases from 0 to 100%, the MSA Index decreases by up to 6.3 units	<b>High</b>
Ossification score	0.6	As ossification score decreases by 10, the MSA Index increases by 0.6 index units	<b>High</b>
Rib fat	0.1	As rib fat increases by 1 mm, the MSA Index increases by 0.1 index units	Medium
Hot standard carcass weight (HSCW)	0.01	As HSCW increases by 1kg, the MSA Index increases by <0.01 index units	Low
Sex	0.3	With low ossification values, females have a higher index value than steers by around 0.3 index units	Low

The values presented in Table 1 are the average effect calculated for 2.8 million carcasses across all states of Australia.

\* Relative importance indicates the size of effect changing that trait will have on the MSA Index within a herd, if all other traits remained the same. Some traits may have a large impact but are difficult for a producer to alter.

\*\* Hump height can be used in conjunction with carcass weight as the determinant or verification of TBC during MSA grading.

Using the size of effects from Table 1, producers can estimate how much their MSA Index will change as a result of changes in genetic or management interventions.

## Using the MSA Index to generate change

The MSA Index will allow processors to benchmark their suppliers by evaluating the eating quality of the carcasses that they purchase. Producers can change the MSA Index of their carcasses to ensure they supply carcasses of the desired eating quality for a processor.

Table 2 provides an example of changes made by a producer to supply cattle to a new market, which required cattle to be heavier at the same age with more marbling.

Table 2: The impact of livestock production changes on the MSA Index

Trait	Carcase 1	Carcase 2	Change in MSA Index
Carcase weight (kg)	260	280	+ 0.12
MSA marbling	280	300	+ 0.33
Ossification score	150	150	0
TBC (%)	0	0	0
Hump height (mm)	50	50	0
Rib Fat (mm)	10	12	+ 0.18
Sex	M	M	0
HGP	No	No	0
Milk-fed vealer	No	No	0
Saleyard	No	No	0
<b>MSA Index</b>	<b>59.67</b>	<b>60.30</b>	<b>+ 0.63</b>

**Increase marbling** – To increase marbling through genetic management, producers can purchase sires with higher Estimated Breeding Values (EBVs) for Intramuscular Fat (IMF%) to increase marbling in their progeny. Ensuring animals are finished on a high plane of nutrition prior to slaughter will also aid in ensuring marbling is developed.

An increase in MSA marbling of 20 points equates to an actual IMF % increase of around 0.4%. The sire of carcass 2 would need an IMF% EBV of around 0.8% higher than the sire of carcass 1 to see an increase of 20 MSA marbling points in their progeny.

**Increased carcass weight and rib fat depth** – To achieve heavier carcasses at the same maturity (ossification), producers could use sires with higher 400 or 600-day growth EBVs and/or increase the nutritional value of feed to enhance the growth rate of the animals. If positive genetic selection pressure was placed on IMF and on rib and rump fat EBVs, then heavier carcasses will also be fatter at the rib site. Improving nutrition to increase growth may also increase carcass fatness.

## How to access the MSA Index

Producers can access MSA Index values for carcasses in the online feedback system, myMSA at [www.mymmsa.com.au](http://www.mymmsa.com.au). Producers can also use the MSA calculator at this website to guide decision making by predicting the impact of production changes on the MSA Index.

Scan to use the  
MSA Index  
mobile calculator



Or go to [www.mymmsa.com.au/msamobile](http://www.mymmsa.com.au/msamobile)  
on your mobile device.

## For more information

Visit [www.mla.com.au/msa](http://www.mla.com.au/msa) or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Locked Bag 991  
North Sydney NSW 2060  
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333  
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393  
[www.mla.com.au](http://www.mla.com.au)

Reprinted January 2014  
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4  
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2011  
ABN 39 081 678 364